E-filing

COMPLAINT

COMPLAINT by A PRISONER UNDERTHE Court RICKTS ACT, 43 U.S.C1983 NAME WEAVER WILLIE & PRISONER NUMBERTY JABS9 INSTITUTIONAL ADDRESS PECICAN BAY STATE PRISON PIO, BOX 7000 CRESCENTR CITY, CA. 95531.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WILLIE WEAVER (ENTER THE FULL NAME OF PLAINTIFFINTHIS ACTION) CASENO (TO bE PROVIDED by THE CLERKO **VS.** COURT) FIRST WATCH 03/24/08 DIRECTOR, WARDEN, ASSIT LIEUTENANT, SERGEANTINE COMPLAINT UNDER ACT 42 U.S.C 1983 CORRECTIONAL OFFICES INMATES MEDICAL ENTER THE FULL OF DEFENDANTS) IN THIS ACTION (ALL QUESTIONS ON THIS COMPLAINT FORM MUST BE ANSWERED IN ORDER FOR YOUR ACTION TO PROCEED..) 1. EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVERENDIES NOTE! YOU MUST EXHAUST YOUR
ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES, BEFORE
CLAIM CAN GO FORWARD THE COURT WILL
A DISSMISS ANY UNEXHAUSTED CLAIMS
A. PLACE OF PRESENT CONFINEMENT PIST
B. IS THERE A GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE IN
THIS INSTITUTION ? YES C Y NO C
YOUR COMPLAINT FOR REJIEW THROUGH
THE GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE? YES CYS DI IF YOUR ANSWER IS YES, LIST THE APPEAL NUMBER AND THE DATE AND RESULT OF THE

APPEAL AT EACH LEVEL OF REVIEW, IF YOU did NOT PURSUE A CERTAIN LEVEL OF
APPEAL EXPLAIN WHY.
D. FIRST FORMAL LEYEL
3, SECOND FORMAL LEUEL
E. IS THE LAST LEVEL TO WHICH YOU APPEAL ED THE MIGHEST LEVEL OF APPEAL AUAILABLE TO YOU? UES () NO () F. IF YOU did NOT PRESENT YOUR
CLAIM FOR REVIEW Though The
EXPLANCE PROCEDURE EXPLAIN WHY, STILL BEING
EXPLAIN WHY, STILL BEING
PRO CESSED
B. WRITE THE FULL NAME OF EACH DEFENDANT HIS OR HER OFFICIAL POSITION, AND HIS OR HER PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT. PELICAN BAY STATE PRISON WARDEN DOCCTOR DOCCTOR
DIRECTOR, ASSITWARDEN CAPTAIN,
OFFICERS 03/04/08 MEDICAL
TO TOS MEGICAC
COMPLAINT -2-

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

STATE HERE AS BRIEFLY AS POSSIBLE THE
FACTS OF YOUR CASE, BE SURE TO DESCRIBE
NOW EACH DEFENDANT IS INVOLVED
AND NOW TO INCLUDE DATES, WHEN
POSSIBLE DO NOT GIVE ANY LEGAL
ARGUMENTS OR CITE ANY CASES OR STATUTES, IF YOU hAVE MORE THAN ONE CLAIM FEACH CLAIM SHOULD BE SET FORTH IN A SEPARATE NUMBERED PARAGRAPH.
SLEEP DEPRIVATION FIRST WATCH OF C3/24108 PLAINTIFF OF HIS SLEEP ON FIRST WATCH FROM INMATES CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS MEDICAL, HIS NEIGHBOR'S IN CELL DII 209 208 207 204 203, 110, 111, PLAINTIFF IS BEING WOKEN FROM SLEEP DEPRIVATION FROM SENSORY DEVICE MACHINE, THESE ARE WITTNESSES THAT ARE HAVING THE SAME PROBLEMS JOHN RABE D-58062 CARLOS LUTZ, M BLOCK MONTELLO M BLOCK, WILLOCK OF BLOCK, DEFENDANTS ShOWED DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE UNDERTHE INTELLET MEND MENT CONSTITUTE INTELLET ERUEL UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT YOUR COMPLAINT CANNOT GO FORWARD UN LESS YOU REQUEST SPECIFIC RELIEF, STATE BRIEFLY EXACTLY WHAT YOU WANT ARE THE COURT TO DO FOR YOU. MAKE NO LEGAL ARGUMENTS: CITE OR NO CASES OR STATUTES. LIABILITY DAMAGES: 50,000 FIFTY THOUSAND DOCLAR DUE TO MARRASSMENT, THREATING CONSPIRACE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION VIOLATION PUNITIVE DAMAGES, 50,000 FIFTY Thousand DOLLARS DUE TO; MENTAL ANGUISH STREES DISORDER. I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERTURY
THAT THE FOR GOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. SIGNED This 03 day 08 24 20 08 COMPLAINT -3-

